OPEN SOURCE SOA INFRASTRUCTURE



Making Software Work Together™

IONA Confidential

1

IONA

... at a glance

Customers include world's largest firms

- □ 80% of Global Telecom
- □ 70% of Financial Services in Global 100
- Blue Chip System Integrator Partners



Worldwide presence

- □ EMEA HQ in Dublin, Ireland
- □ US HQ in Massachusetts
- APAC HQ in Tokyo, Japan



Solid business with a history of profitable growth

- □ Founded in 1991
- Publicly traded since 1997
- □ \$50+ million cash on hand
- No debt

NASDAQ:IONA

Our Approach: Making Software Work Together™

- Deliver high performance integration software for mission critical applications
- D Make heterogeneity an asset, not a liability
- Deliver on the value proposition of standards

IONA Government Technologies, Inc.

- An independently operated subsidiary of IONA Technologies, Inc.
- Dedicated to serving the specific needs of federal (defense and civilian) and state and local government organizations.
- Serving US Government since 1995
 (1ST US customer)

Making Software Work Together™

ION/



IONA's Federal Government Customers







































SPAWAR





BROOKHAVEN NATIONAL LABORATORY















Los Alamos ATIONAL LABORATORY



Science in the National Interes

LOCKHEED MARTIN



Today's Government

- Leaders expect to be able to move quickly, using all information appropriate to make effective choices that benefit constituents.
- Infrastructure is not well suited to responding to the demands for responsive interconnectivity
- Working across levels and branches of government is a complex and difficult undertaking that is further complicated by the governance and *infrastructure* that must exist to support it.
- Need the IT industry to make open their solutions for easier portability and non-vendor lock-in



Why is Open Source Important?

Open source products are deeply embedded in the Government <u>Apache</u> - Over 60% of the web pages on the world wide web are presented via Apache.*

- TCP/IP The underlying basis of the Internet; Its creation was funded by DoD.
- <u>Sendmail</u> moves mail from one machine to another; carries nearly 90% of e-mail traffic*
- Linux Unix-like operating system with over 18 million users.*** Widely used to support Apache, TCP/IP, and Sendmail services.

MySQL- relational database.

Perl - An open-source-only programing language that is widely used to make web pages "smarter"

Open source development is widespread and international <u>SourceForge.org</u> - 77,000 projects and 804,000 registered users



Forrester's definition: SOA

A style of design, deployment, and management of <u>applications</u> and <u>software infrastructure</u> in which:

- Applications are organized into <u>business services</u> that are (typically) network-accessible.
- Service <u>interface definitions</u> are first-class development artifacts.
- <u>Quality of service</u> characteristics are explicitly specified in the design.
- Services are cataloged and <u>discoverable</u> by development tools and management tools.
- Protocols are predominantly, but <u>not exclusively, based on</u> <u>Web services.</u>



SOA infrastructure can deliver information...



An ESB is a common SOA foundation

An ESB is an *intermediary* that makes a set of *reusable* business services *widely available*.

Service Connection:

Multiple protocols and data formats Application adapters

Service Mediation:

Routing, interaction models, version resolution Transformation and mapping, message enrichment

Service Change and control:

Transactions Security and quality of service Service registry and metadata management Service monitoring and management

Service Orchestration: Business process management and monitoring



Why open source for SOA?

- Low cost of entry
- SOA is a natural evolution of IT
 - Extends application servers, databases, messaging systems, ERPs etc.- already commoditizing
 - Improves existing applications through reusability
 - ♦ i.e. it is not about new applications from the ground up
- Open source provides transparency
- Opportunity for community innovation
- Mixture of open and closed source typical



A Unique Approach to SOA



Distributed SOA Infrastructure

Incremental SOA Adoption

- Lightweight and easily embeddable endpoints
- Right-sized: configure what you need, scale as you grow
- Pricing allows small initial deployment and linear growth

Dynamic & Adaptable Solution

- Configurable at runtime
- Plug-in architecture

Technology-Neutral Deployment

- Standards-based
- Multiple messaging systems and protocols
- Works with existing software & hardware systems



We Want to go Here



Lower total cost of ownership.

IONA

Technology Neutral

- Standards-based, multi-platform, multi-protocol
- Loosely coupled





IONA's Legacy Web Services enablement Architecture



Adopting SOA: The Best Solution



IONA's Open Source: Celtix

Enterprise



Celtix Enterprise Making Headlines

- IONA is expanding leadership in Distributed SOA infrastructure with open source
- Oceltix Enterprise
 - Open Source ESB
 - Basis for distributed SOA
- Celtix Advanced Messaging
 - AMQP reference implementation
- Celtix Advanced Service Engine
 - Based on Apache CXF
- Enterprise-class Services and Support





The Celtix Offering Family (Detail)



Eclipse STP, code generators, JMX Enabled Celtix Advanced Messaging

- Based on Apache Qpid, AMQP reference implementation
- Supports fire-and-forget, publishsubscribe, reliable message queuing
- AMQP is an open on-the-wire protocol

Celtix Advanced Service Engine

- Based on Apache CXF
- Full JAX-WS 2.0 implementation
- Supports Java and Javascript/E4X
- SOAP or raw XML over HTTP, JMS, AMQP



Celtix Enterprise Components



- Messaging
 - Celtix Advanced Messaging
 - ActiveMQ JMS
 - Routing
- Ontainers
 - Tomcat
 - ServiceMix JBI container
 - Lightweight Spring-based Container
 - Supports J2EE
- Celtix Advanced Service Engine
- Eclipse tooling from the SOA Tools Platform (STP) project

$ESB \leftarrow \dots \rightarrow ESB$

Interoperability

ESB



Artix and Celtix in a Distributed SOA



Making Software Work Together™

From the Architects viewpoint:.....



Technical Highlights: End-Points:

IONA embedded at endpoint, executing on same hardware, managed and secured using the native mechanisms of the application, without changing applications

Deployment Flexibility:

Different end-points required different deployment models; client / server / switch

Language Independence:

IONA components are deployed using C++ and Java

Multiple Protocols and Bindings:

IONA supports multiple transport protocols and message format bindings



Data centric Interoperability DDS - DDS integration

In the absence of concensus on a standard DDS wire protocol an Artix/Celtix DDS could act as a mediator propagating messages between DDS networks based on different vendors' DDS implementations.



Between different DDS implementations:

NDDS, OpenSplice, OpenDDS, TAO DDS,

Between DDS and other asynchronous messaging standards

CORBA Event/Notification, JMS, AMQP...etc.

Between **DDS** and **synchronous client-server** communication technologies

SOAP/HTTP Web Service, IIOP CORBA, Tibco, MQSeries...etc.



Mixed DDS - (Other) integration

Perhaps the ultimate holy grail is full mixed-mode integration with each node using either DDS or Client-Service communications mechanisms as appropriate.



Open Source SOA Architecture



Celtix High-level Architecture





Celtix Enterprise - Another View





Think Big, Start Small, Scale Fast





WSDL Centric Architecture

- Leverage WSDL concepts heavily Applications w/o WSDL also supported
- Support all WSDL semantics
 - •Extensible message exchange patterns
 - •Separation of logical and physical contracts
 - •Define service and tie it to a binding
 - •Apply QoS
- Initially support WSDL 1.1
 - •WSDL 2.0 (next release)



SOA Tooling for Celtix



Features for 1.0

- ♦ JAX-WS 2.0
- Bindings: SOAP 1.1, XML
- Transports: HTTP, JMS
- Solution WS-Addressing, WS-Reliable Messaging
- Instrumentation & JMX Management
- JBI Support
- SCA Integration with Tuscany
- J2EE Integration with Geronimo and JOnAS
- Routing

Are you Ready for OpenSource SOA?

Applicability

Use Cases

Basic JAX-WS Service Creation

The GUI tools provide JAX-WS compliant code from WSDL contracts and help you develop applications using it. The GUI tools also provide a mechanism for generating a JAX-WS service from a Java object.

Reliable Messaging Delivery

- AMQP
- Reliable Messaging (WS-RM)
- ActiveMQ JMS

Deploying Smart Endpoints

- Has all of the functionality to send and receive messages as specified by the service's contract.
- It does not rely on a central server and is more flexible in terms of resources and deployment options.
- Service's built with Celtix Enterprise can be deployed as standalone processes the completely autonomous.

Use Cases (Cont)

Web Service Enabling of Legacy Systems

- Celtix can assist you in adding the needed JAX-WS annotations to your objects
- It will then generate the WSDL which defines the newly created service. Once your Java code is annotated and the WSDL is generated, you can deploy the code as a service using any of the containers supported by Celtix Enterprise.

JAX-WS SOAP Stack in alternative containers

Deploying Inferno service as a web application inside Tomcat container, deploying services with Spring, deploying services on Geronimo.

JBI Integration

ServiceMix amongst others (OpenESB)

Use Cases (Cont)

Web 2.0 and JavaScript Support

- Integration with AJAX, php, and other scripting languages.
- Celtix Enterprise has a JavaScript front-end that allows you to write services and consumers using either JavaScript or E4X.

Celtix Enterprise is here!

Integration Throughout the Software Lifecycle

Addressing the SW LifeCycle

Integration/Interoperability Issues Delay SOA Deployment Projects

- Lots of tools and practices focus on application development and testing, few focus on Integration
- We find three major issues: Incomplete integration specs
 Poor team communication
 Late integration testing

Need to address integration across the software development lifecycle

Making Software Work Together™

Challenges of Distributed SOA Development

- Isolated teams operating on discrete requirements
- Inter-dependencies drive serialized development
- Consensus on service specifications more difficult to accomplish
- Conformance to service specifications difficult to monitor
- SOA Integration Defects
 Discovered later

Solution - Accelerating Testing

Traditional Integration Testing

- Parallel development in isolation
- Integration defects discovered in Test
 Must "assemble" app to discover flaws
 Occurs late in project cycle
- Time Consuming Discovery Process
 Tends to discover defects serially
 Defective Elements must be returned to
 Development

Artix Certification Kits

- Interface Simulators
 Generated form Interface Spec
 Consumers and Providers
- Parallel Test during Development
 Centrally Defined Tests Cases
 Distributed to Developers

Solution Value - Universal Test Harness

- Concentrated Investment of ...
 Software Dollars
 People
- Greater People Portability
 Less retraining between systems
- Increased Productivity Eliminate Hand-coded simulators Greater Access to best in class tools
- Improved Process Visibility Normalizes Testing Activities Consolidated Reporting and Management Capabilities

Customer Case Study

- Enterprise Services Organization
 - Approx 70 Testing FTEs
 - Annual Budget: ~US\$9M
- Assessment Mid '05
 - Testing FTEs reduced 70-80%
 - 3 year Cost-savings: US\$10M
 - Developer FTEs 15%
 - Improved Coordination
 - 3 year Cost-savings: ~US\$5M
 - Reduce Time to Market
 - Removed 2-3 Weeks per release
 - 3yr Revenue Impact: ~US\$50M

Automated Certification Kits

Fully Generated Solution

No Coding involved Build, Package and Distribute within an hour

Flexible distribution models:

Distributed model: Kits distributed to Developers Hosted model: Test team hosts simulators

Deliver Projects Faster!

